```
Page 1
 1
                ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
                        October 29, 2024
 2
 3
 4
 5
     PRAIRIE STATE GENERATING
     COMPANY, LLC
 6
        Petitioner,
 7
                                    PCB 25-11
        v.
 8
                                     (Permit Appeal - Air)
     ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL
 9
     PROTECTION AGENCY,
10
        Respondent.
11
12
13
                  Washington County Board Room
               101 E. St. Louis St., second floor
                      Nashville, Illinois
14
15
         (Starting time of the hearing: 09:29 a.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```



	Transcript of Froceedings	October 29, 2024
1	INDEX	Page 2
2		PAGE
3	Colloque	4
4		
5	PETITIONER'S WITNESSES:	
6	Ross Bunton Testimony of Ross Bunton	5
7	Tesermony or Ross Bureon	3
8	Adjournment	13
9		
10	EXHIBITS	
11		
12	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
13		
14	(No exhibits marked.)	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



[		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR THE BOARD:	
3	Carol Webb Illinois Pollution Control Board	
4	Suite 630	
5	60 East Van Buren Street Chicago, IL 60605	
6	Phone: 312-814-3620	
7	FOR THE PETITIONER:	
8	David M. Loring	
9	Robert Middleton ArentFox Schiff LLP	
10	233 S. Wacker Drive Suite 6600	
11	Chicago, IL 60606	
12	FOR THE RESPONDENT:	
13	Kevin D. Bonin, #6294877	
14	Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau	
15	Illinois Attorney General's Office 500 South 2nd Street	
16	Springfield, Illinois 62701 Ph: (217) 782-5055	
17	Fax: (217) 524-7740 Kevin.bonin@ilag.gov	
18		
19	Sally Carter	
20	Assistant Counsel Division of Legal Counsel	
21	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 102 1 North Grand Ave. East	
22	P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276	
23		
24	REPORTED BY:	
25	Mr. Colin Wallis Lexitas Legal	



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Good morning. My name is Carol Webb, and this is the hearing for PSB 25-11, Prairie State Generating Company versus IEPA. Is it October 29th, and we are beginning at 9:30 a.m. There is one member of the public present who does not wish to make a public comment. Any written public comment may be filed with the clerk by November 12th.

In this case, the Petitioner alleges that the agency has failed to timely take final action on a permit application from 2011 for its coal-fired power generation facility in Washington County. The Pollution Control Board members will make the final decision in this My purpose is to conduct the hearing in case. a neutral and orderly manner so that we have a clear record of the proceedings. The decision deadline is December 19th. This hearing was noticed pursuant to the act and the Board's rules and will be conducted pursuant to Sections 101.600 through 101.632 of the Board's procedural rules. At this time, I would like to ask the parties to please make their appearances on the record.

1	MR. LORING: David Loring, L-o-r-i-n-g, on
2	behalf of Prairie State Generating Company.
3	MR. MIDDLETON: Robert Middleton, also on
4	behalf of Prairie State.
5	MR. BONIN: Kevin Bonin on behalf of
6	Illinois EPA.
7	MS. CARTER: Sally Carter, Illinois EPA.
8	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Are there
9	any preliminary matters to discuss on the
10	record? No? Okay. Would the Petitioner like
11	to make any opening statements?
12	MR. LORING: No opening statement, but we
13	are going to be presenting a witness to provide
14	testimony.
15	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Would the
16	agency like to make any opening statement?
17	MR. BONIN: None.
18	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Petitioner,
19	you may call your first witness, please. Yeah,
20	why don't you sit over with the court reporter,
21	please.
22	(Ross Bunton sworn.)
23	TESTIMONY OF RUSS BUNTON:
24	THE WITNESS: My name is Ross Bunton, and
25	I am presenting testimony in this matter on



1	behalf of Prairie State Generating Company,
2	LLC. I am the Director of Environmental (sic)
3	for Prairie State where my duties include
4	overseeing all environmental compliance and
5	permitting obligations associated with the
6	Prairie State coal-fired power generation
7	facility in Marissa, Illinois. I have held my
8	current position since December 2023. Prior to
9	that, I was an environmental engineer at
10	Prairie State, and I have worked for Prairie
11	State for over 13 years.
12	Part of my current duties as the Director
13	of Environmental for Prairie State involve the
14	preparation of an additional update to the
15	Facility's CAAPP permit application, which the
16	Facility expects to submit to Illinois EPA
17	before the end of 2024.
18	My testimony is being presented to the
19	Board today in support of Prairie State's
20	Petition for Hearing on Illinois EPA's failure
21	to take final action on Prairie State's CAAPP
22	permit application.
23	In that application, we requested that the

Board enter an order requiring that Illinois
EPA take prompt action on Prairie State's CAAPP

24

25



permit application to issue a draft CAAPP
permit within one year of the Board's order.
This request was premised on several factual
and legal grounds that, based on Illinois EPA's
statements in its Answer to Prairie State's
Petition, are not in dispute.

2.2

First, Prairie State filed a timely and complete CAAPP permit application. Illinois EPA agreed, stating that "PSGC timely submitted its initial CAAPP permit application." and "[o]n May 18, 2011, Illinois EPA issued a notice to [Prairie State] that the CAAPP permit application was administratively complete."

Second, Prairie State has not failed to timely respond to any requests for additional information sought by Illinois EPA. Illinois EPA concurred.

Third, under Illinois law, until Illinois
EPA takes final action on the CAAPP permit
application, which has not yet occurred,
Prairie State is legally authorized to operate
its Facility (under its existing construction
permits) without a CAAPP permit. Illinois EPA
agreed, admitting that to date, PSGC has met
the legal criteria for operating its CAAPP

source without a CAAPP permit until its pending application is acted upon.

2.2

Fourth, Illinois EPA acknowledges that it has not taken final action on Prairie State's pending CAAPP permit application. Since 2011, Illinois has had the legal authority to act on PSGC's application.

Prairie State agrees with Illinois EPA's assertion that the timing of processing the pending CAAPP permit application by Illinois EPA is the only outstanding consideration before the Board. This was reflected in Prairie State's oral motion, which was granted during a pre-hearing conference on October 17, 2024, limiting the scope of this Board hearing to the issue of the time by which Illinois EPA will be required to take final action on Prairie State's CAAPP permit application.

As earlier noted, Prairie State is presently preparing an update to the CAAPP permit application, which will update information since the last update submitted to Illinois EPA on July 27, 2020. For example, changes to applicable state and federal regulations such as revisions to the Mercury



and Air Toxics Standards, and the changes to Illinois startup, shutdown and malfunction provisions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Transcript of Proceedings

Prairie State and Illinois EPA are engaged in discussions regarding the submission of the updated application to ensure that Illinois EPA has all information necessary to take final action on the application. At present, Prairie State anticipates submitting the updated CAAPP permit application before the end of this year. Prairie State does not dispute Illinois EPA's assertion that the administrative review process to evaluate the CAAPP permit application to develop a draft CAAPP permit is a "significant undertaking." Nor does Prairie State dispute that following the issuance of a draft permit, a statutorily required public notice and comment period, as well as a review period by U.S. EPA, must occur. These procedural requirements can take significant time to complete, particularly, if Illinois EPA determines that revisions to the draft CAAPP permit are necessary to address comments and/or objections submitted during the public comment period or in response to U.S. EPA review.



Prairie State did consider requesting a shorter
time frame for Illinols EPA to issue a draft
permit. However, Prairie State recognized that
the technical review, preparation and
negotiation of a CAAPP permit for any large
stationary source in Illinois is an inherently
lengthy process because of the significant
applicable requirements for complex facilities
with many processes and emission units.

2.2

Requesting that Illinois EPA develop a draft CAAPP permit in one year is, therefore, a reasonable and realistic time frame. Due to the uncertainty in predicting the length of time needed to proceed from issuance of a draft CAAPP permit to Illinois EPA taking final action (in other words, issuing or denying the CAAPP permit), Prairie State elected to propose a one-year time period for Illinois EPA to issue a draft CAAPP permit.

Illinois EPA responded that it would prefer that the Board order provide a two-year time frame to take final action from the date that PSGC submits its updated CAAPP permit application. PSGC does not see a significant difference between these two requests, as



1	Illinois EPA's request, essentially, assumes
2	that it will take approximately one year to
3	issue a draft CAAPP permit and an additional
4	year to complete the statutory review process
5	before final action can be taken by Illinois
6	EPA. Accordingly, PSGC will accede to the
7	Board's and Illinois EPA's expertise with
8	respect to timing. Thank you.
9	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Does
10	the agency have any questions for this witness?
11	MR. BONIN: We're good.
12	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay, thank you.
13	Would you like to call another witness?
14	MR. LORING: No other witnesses.
15	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Do you have
16	anything else you would like to present for
17	your case today?
18	MR. LORING: I don't believe so. Nothing
19	else from Prairie State.
20	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The agency may call
21	their first witness.
22	MR. BONIN: We wanted to request that we
23	have the prefile testimony that we filed just
24	be admitted as written testimony which our
25	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Admitted as if

1	read?
2	MR. BONIN: Yeah.
3	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Any objection to
4	that?
5	MR. LORING: No objection to that.
6	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. We'll go
7	ahead and accept that written testimony as if
8	read. That's admitted into the record. So,
9	having said that, are there any questions you
10	have for the witness about that prefiled
11	testimony?
12	MR. LORING: Nothing from Prairie State.
13	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Anything
14	further for the agency to present today?
15	MR. BONIN: Nope.
16	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Well, then,
17	I'll just make a few announcements here. The
18	transcript is due by November 5th and will be
19	posted on the Board's website. The public
20	comment deadline is November 12th. Public
21	comment must be filed in accordance with
22	Section 101.628 of the Board's procedural
23	rules. The Petitioner's brief is due by
24	November 12th. The Agency's brief is due by
25	November 19th. Does anyone wish to make any



Page 13 closing argument? Petitioner? 1 2 Nothing from Petitioner. MR. LORING: 3 Thank you. 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Agency? 5 MR. BONIN: No. 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: No members of the 7 public here to make comments? Then at this time, I will conclude the proceedings. 8 9 stand adjourned. 10 (Ending time of the hearing: 09:39 a.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



1	I, Colin Wallis, in and for the State of	Page 14
2	Missouri do hereby certify that the witness	
3	whose testimony appears in the foregoing	
4	Examination Under Oath was duly sworn by me;	
5	that the testimony of the said witness was	
6	taken by me to the best of my ability and	
7	thereafter reduced to typewriting under my	
8	direction; that I am neither counsel for,	
9	related to, nor employed by any of the parties	
10	to the action in which this examination was	
11	taken, and further that I am not relative or	
12	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by	
13	the parties thereto, nor financially or	
14	otherwise interested in the putcome of the	
15	action. Palla Tollilla	
16		
17	within and for the State of Missouri	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		



25

	administratively	9:9,13,14,22	decision 4:15,18
1	7:13	<b>call</b> 5:19	determines 9:22
<b>101.600</b> 4:22	admitting 7:24	Carol 4:3	develop 9:14
<b>101.632</b> 4:22	<b>agency</b> 4:11 5:16	Carter 5:7	Director 6:2,12
<b>12th</b> 4:9	<b>agreed</b> 7:9,24	case 4:10,16	discuss 5:9
<b>13</b> 6:11	agrees 8:8	clear 4:18	discussions 9:5
<b>17</b> 8:14	<b>Air</b> 9:1	clerk 4:9	dispute 7:6 9:11,
<b>18</b> 7:11	alleges 4:10	coal-fired 4:13 6:6	16
<b>19th</b> 4:19	and/or 9:23	comment 4:8	<b>draft</b> 7:1 9:14,17,
	anticipates 9:9	9:18,24	22
2	appearances 4:25	comments 9:23	<b>duties</b> 6:3,12
<b>2011</b> 4:12 7:11 8:5	applicable 8:24	Company 4:4 5:2	E
<b>2020</b> 8:23	application 4:12	6:1	
<b>2023</b> 6:8	6:15,22,23 7:1,8, 10,13,20 8:2,5,7,	<b>complete</b> 7:8,13 9:21	earlier 8:19
<b>2024</b> 6:17 8:15	10,18,21 9:6,8,10,	compliance 6:4	<b>end</b> 6:17 9:10
<b>25-11</b> 4:4	14	concurred 7:17	engaged 9:4
<b>27</b> 8:23	assertion 8:9 9:12	conduct 4:16	engineer 6:9
<b>29th</b> 4:5	authority 8:6	conducted 4:21	ensure 9:6
	authorized 7:21	conference 8:14	enter 6:24
9	В	consideration	environmental 6:2,4,9,13
<b>9:30</b> 4:6		8:11	<b>EPA</b> 5:6,7 6:16,25
	based 7:4	construction 7:22	7:8,11,16,17,19,
Α	beginning 4:6	Control 4:14	23 8:3,11,16,23 9:4,6,19,21,25
<b>a.m.</b> 4:6	<b>behalf</b> 5:2,4,5 6:1	County 4:14	9.4,0,19,21,23 <b>EPA's</b> 6:20 7:4 8:8
acknowledges 8:3	<b>Board</b> 4:14 6:19, 24 8:12,15	<b>court</b> 5:20	9:11
act 4:20 8:6	<b>Board's</b> 4:20,22	criteria 7:25	evaluate 9:13
acted 8:2	7:2	current 6:8,12	existing 7:22
action 4:12 6:21,	<b>Bonin</b> 5:5,17		expects 6:16
25 7:19 8:4,17 9:8	<b>Bunton</b> 5:22,23,24	D	
additional 6:14		date 7:24	F
7:15	C	David 5:1	facility 4:13 6:7,16
address 9:23	<b>CAAPP</b> 6:15,21,25	deadline 4:19	7:22
administrative 9:12	7:1,8,10,12,19,23, 25 8:1,5,10,18,20	<b>December</b> 4:19 6:8	Facility's 6:15



	Transcript	of ProceedingsOctober 29, 20	D24IIIdex. lactualprovision
factual 7:3	issuance 9:16	motion 8:13	22 7:1,2,8,10,12,
failed 4:11 7:14	issue 7:1 8:16		19,23 8:1,5,10,18, 21 9:10,13,14,17,
failure 6:20	issued 7:11	N	23
federal 8:24		neutral 4:17	permits 7:23
filed 4:9 7:7	J	noted 8:19	permitting 6:5
final 4:11,15 6:21	July 8:23	notice 7:11 9:18	<b>Petition</b> 6:20 7:6
7:19 8:4,17 9:7		noticed 4:20	Petitioner 4:10
Fourth 8:3	K	November 4:9	5:10,18
	Kevin 5:5		Pollution 4:14
		0	position 6:8
Generating 4:4	L	<b>o]n</b> 7:10	power 4:13 6:6
5:2 6:1	<b>L-O-R-I-N-G</b> 5:1	objections 9:24	Prairie 4:4 5:2,4
generation 4:13 6:6	law 7:18	obligations 6:5	6:1,3,6,10,13,19, 21,25 7:5,7,12,14,
Good 4:2	legal 7:4,25 8:6	occur 9:19	21 8:4,8,13,18,19
granted 8:13	legally 7:21	occurred 7:20	9:4,8,11,15
grounds 7:4	limiting 8:15	October 4:5 8:14	preliminary 5:9
	LLC 6:2	<b>OFFICER</b> 4:2 5:8,	premised 7:3
Н	<b>Loring</b> 5:1,12	15,18	preparation 6:14
hearing 4:2,3,16,		opening 5:11,12,	preparing 8:20
19 5:8,15,18 6:20	М	16	<b>present</b> 4:7 9:8
8:15	make 4:7,15,24	operate 7:21	presented 6:18
held 6:7	5:11,16	operating 7:25	presenting 5:13,
	malfunction 9:2	<b>oral</b> 8:13	25
I	manner 4:17	order 6:24 7:2	presently 8:20
<b>IEPA</b> 4:5	Marissa 6:7	orderly 4:17	pre-hearing 8:14
Illinois 5:6,7 6:7,	matter 5:25	outstanding 8:11	Prior 6:8
16,20,24 7:4,8,11,	matters 5:9	overseeing 6:4	procedural 4:23 9:20
16,18,23 8:3,6,8, 10,16,23 9:2,4,6,	member 4:6		proceedings 4:18
11,21	members 4:15	P	
include 6:3	Mercury 8:25	<b>Part</b> 6:12	process 9:13
information 7:16	met 7:24	parties 4:24	processing 8:9
8:22 9:7	Middleton 5:3	pending 8:1,5,10	prompt 6:25
initial 7:10	morning 4:2	period 9:18,19,25	provide 5:13
involve 6:13	monning T.Z	Ī	provisions 9:3



	Transcript of	Proceedings O	ctober 29, 2024Index: PSByears
<b>PSB</b> 4:4	shutdown 9:2	timing 8:9	
<b>PSGC</b> 7:9,24	<b>sic</b> 6:2	<b>today</b> 6:19	
PSGC's 8:7	significant 9:15,	Toxics 9:1	
<b>public</b> 4:7,8 9:17,	20		
24	<b>sit</b> 5:20	U	
purpose 4:16	sought 7:16	<b>U.S.</b> 9:19,25	
pursuant 4:20,21	source 8:1	undertaking 9:	15
R	Standards 9:1	update 6:14 8:2	20,
	startup 9:2	21,22	
record 4:18,25 5:10	<b>state</b> 4:4 5:2,4 6:1, 3,6,10,11,13 7:7,	updated 9:6,9	
reflected 8:12	12,14,21 8:8,19,	V	
regulations 8:25	24 9:4,9,11,16		
reporter 5:20	<b>State's</b> 6:19,21,25 7:5 8:4,13,18	versus 4:5	
request 7:3	statement 5:12,16	W	
requested 6:23	statements 5:11		
requests 7:15	7:5	Washington 4:	
required 8:17 9:17	stating 7:9	Webb 4:2,3 5:8	,15,
requirements 9:20	statutorily 9:17	worked 6:10	
requiring 6:24	submission 9:5	written 4:8	
respond 7:15	submit 6:16		
response 9:25	<b>submitted</b> 7:9 8:22 9:24	Υ	
review 9:12,18,25		year 7:2 9:10	
revisions 8:25	submitting 9:9	<b>years</b> 6:11	
9:22	support 6:19 sworn 5:22		
Robert 5:3	SWOTH 5.22		
ross 5:22,24	T		
rules 4:21,23			
<b>RUSS</b> 5:23	takes 7:19		
S	testimony 5:14, 23,25 6:18		
<b>Sally</b> 5:7	time 4:23 8:16		
<b>scope</b> 8:15	9:21		
Sections 4:22	timely 4:11 7:7,9,		
- <del></del>			
	1	1	1